	Case 1:22-cv-00360-JLT-EPG Documer	nt 31 Filed 12/16/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTOPHER G. VALENCIA,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00360-JLT-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
13	v.	REQUEST TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENT STYLED AS "RESPONSE TO ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE ANSWER TO UNDISPUTED FACTS, AND COMPLAINT. RESUBMITTING COMPLAINT, FACTS."
14	DEBORAH SAN JUAN,	
15	Defendant.	
16		(ECF No. 30)
17		
18	Christopher Valencia ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights	
19	action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 22, 2022, Defendant filed an answer to	
20	Plaintiff's complaint. (ECF No. 24). On December 12, 2022, Plaintiff filed a response to the	
21	answer. (ECF No. 28). On December 15, 2022, Defendant filed a request to strike the response.	
22	(ECF No. 30). Defendant's request is now before this Court.	
23	Defendant argues that Plaintiff's response should be stricken because it is not allowed by	
24	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7(a).	
25	Pursuant to Rule 7(a), "[o]only these pleadings are allowed: (1) a complaint; (2) an answer	
26	to a complaint; (3) an answer to a counterclaim designated as a counterclaim; (4) an answer to a	
27	crossclaim; (5) a third-party complaint; (6) an answer to a third-party complaint; and (7) if the	
28	court orders one, a reply to an answer."	
		1

Case 1:22-cv-00360-JLT-EPG Document 31 Filed 12/16/22 Page 2 of 2 The Court has not ordered a reply to Defendant's answer, and declines to make such an order. Moreover, Plaintiff's filing does not fit into any other category. Therefore, the Court will grant Defendant's request. Accordingly, Plaintiff's response to Defendants' answer (ECF No. 28), is HEREBY STRICKEN from the record. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **December 16, 2022**